

JONATHAN M. JACOBSON
 jjacobson@wsgr.com
 CHUL PAK (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 cpak@wsgr.com
 DANIEL P. WEICK (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 dweick@wsgr.com
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 1301 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10019
 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
 Facsimile: (212) 999-5899

LISA A. DAVIS, State Bar No. 179854
 ldavis@wsgr.com
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 650 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304
 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

Attorneys for Defendant HTC Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASCADES COMPUTER INNOVATION
 LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

RPX CORPORATION, HTC
 CORPORATION, LG ELECTRONICS,
 INC., MOTOROLA MOBILITY
 HOLDINGS, INC., SAMSUNG,
 ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., DELL INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: C 12-cv-1143 (YGR)

**STATEMENT OF DEFENDANTS
 HTC CORPORATION, LG
 ELECTRONICS, INC.,
 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.
 LTD., AND MOTOROLA
 MOBILITY, INC., REGARDING
 THE MOTIONS TO DISMISS
 AND THE JULY 10 HEARING**

Date: July 10, 2012
 Time: 2:00 PM

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzales
 Rogers

Defendants LG Electronics, Inc., HTC Corporation, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., and Motorola Mobility, Inc. (collectively, "Remaining Manufacturing Defendants") respectfully make the following statement respecting the pending and expected motions to dismiss and the hearing scheduled for July 10, 2012.

Defendants Dell Inc. and RPX Corporation have filed motions to dismiss the Complaint under FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6). Dkts. 54, 55. Those motions are fully briefed and calendared for argument on July 10. The deadline for LG, Motorola, Samsung, and HTC to respond to complaint is, for each company, July 16, 2012, roughly a week after that hearing. Dkts. 35, 36, 56, 57.

LG, Motorola, Samsung, and HTC also expect to seek dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6). The grounds for their motion or motions will complement but not duplicate the grounds asserted by RPX and Dell. Given that circumstance, the Court may wish to consider whether adjudicating the RPX and Dell motions in advance of the completion of the briefing of the Remaining Manufacturing Defendants' motions represents the best use of judicial resources. It may make more sense to adjudicate all the motions at or around the same time.

The Remaining Manufacturing Defendants expect to calendar their motion or motions for hearing on August 21, 2012.

Dated: July 3, 2012

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

/s/ Jonathan M. Jacobson
Jonathan M. Jacobson
jjacobson@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendant
HTC Corporation

1 Dated: July 3, 2012

/s/ Michael W. Scarborough

Michael W. Scarborough
mscarborough@smrh.com
Sheppard Mullin Richter &
Hampton LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4106
Telephone: (415) 434-9100
Facsimile: (415) 434-3947

Attorneys for Defendant
Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.

8 Dated: July 3, 2012

/s/ Elliot Remsen Peters

Elliot Remsen Peters
epeters@kvn.com
Keker & Van Nest LLP
633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Facsimile: (415) 297-7188

Attorneys for Defendant
LG Electronics, Inc.

14 Dated: July 3, 2012

/s/ Gene Crew

Gene Crew
Gcrew@kilpatricktownsend.com
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
Eighth Floor, Two Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 576-020
Facsimile: (415) 576-0300

Attorneys for Defendant
Motorola Mobility, Inc.

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

23 In accordance with General Order 45(X), I hereby attest that I have obtained the
24 concurrence of Michael W. Scarborough, Elliot Remsen Peters, and Gene Crew in the
25 filing of this document.

/s/ Jonathan M. Jacobson

Jonathan M. Jacobson